UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,383	12/29/2004	Alain Sanson	263864US0X PCT	6816	
22850 7590 05/21/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST	REET	GUPTA, ANISH			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1654			
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/21/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/518,383	SANSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	ANISH GUPTA	1654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the standard of the standard from: (1) the expiration date of the standard from: (1) the expiration date of the standard from in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origing the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period fo	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	isideration and/or search (see NOī w);	ΓE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	·		ŕ
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ∐ wil ided below or appended.	l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4-9 and 36-39</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,6,7,15,16,19-35 and 40-61</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10-14,17 and 18</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Anish Gupta/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1654	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims have been amended to recite that variables J1, J3, J13, J21, J27, etc. are specifically chosen from Arg, Asn, Asp, Cys, Gln, Glu, Gly, His, Lys, Orn, Pro, Ser, Thr and Tyr. The originally filed claims stated that 50% of the J variables are the above amino acids, without identifying the specific J variables that are said amino acids. The originally filed specification, on page 6, talk about J variable but do not specify which J variables, are composed of Arg, Asn, Asp, Cys, Gln, Glu, Gly, His, Lys, Orn, Pro, Ser, and Thr. Indeed, there is no mention of specificity of J variables at all with respect to the amino acids claimed in the originally filed specification or claims. In their resposne, Applicants did not specify where support could be found for requiring the presence of, say, Gln in position J27 or Arg in position 49. Thus, for at least these reasons, the limitations presented raise new matter issues.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Since the amendment has not been entered, the rejections made in the Final office action are maintained.

Applicants have requested granting of the benefit of the filing date of the priority application. This Application is a proper national stage entry of PCT/FR03/02025, filed June 30, 2003, which claims priority to to FR 0208202 has been granted. The certified copy was received and entered into the file on 12-29-04. Thus, the priority is acknowledged since all of the certified copies of the priority document have been received.

Applicants have also requested acceptance of drawing. The drawing submitted have been accepted.